arXiv:1704.07057v1 [math.DG] 24 Apr 2017

Intermediate curvatures and highly connected manifolds

Diarmuid Crowley and David J. Wraith

April 25, 2017

Abstract

We show that after forming a connected sum with a homotopy sphere, all $(2j-1)$-connected $2j$-parallelisable manifolds in dimension $4j+1$, $j \geq 1$, can be equipped with Riemannian metrics of 2-positive Ricci curvature. When $j = 1$ we extend the above to certain classes of simply-connected non-spin 5-manifolds. The condition of 2-positive Ricci curvature is defined to mean that the sum of the two smallest eigenvalues of the Ricci tensor is positive at every point. This result is a counterpart to a previous result of the authors concerning the existence of positive Ricci curvature on highly connected manifolds in dimensions $4j-1$ for $j \geq 2$, and in dimensions $4j+1$ for $j \geq 1$ with torsion-free cohomology.

1 Introduction

We begin by recalling that the term 'highly connected manifold' means a manifold M of dimension $2s$ or $2s+1$ which is $(s-1)$-connected, that is, for which all homotopy groups up to and including $\pi_{s-1}(M)$ vanish. We will assume without further comment that all manifolds in this paper are closed, connected and oriented unless stated otherwise. In [CW] the authors recently established the result below for positive Ricci curvature on highly connected manifolds in dimensions $4j-1$, and highly connected manifolds in dimensions $4j+1$ with torsion-free cohomology. We recall that a manifold is said to be l-parallelisable if its tangent bundle restricted to some l-skeleton is trivial.

Theorem 1.1. *([CW]; Theorems A and D]) Consider a $(2j-2)$-connected manifold M^{4j-1} for $j \geq 2$. If $j \equiv 1 \bmod 4$ assume further that M is $(2j-1)$-parallelisable. Then there is a homotopy sphere Σ^{4j-1} such that $M \sharp \Sigma$ admits a metric of positive Ricci curvature. If N^{4j+1}, $j \geq 1$, is a $(2j-1)$-connected $2j$-parallelisable manifold with torsion-free (integer) cohomology, then there is a homotopy sphere $\tilde{\Sigma}^{4j+1}$ such that $N \sharp \tilde{\Sigma}$ admits a Ricci positive metric.*

The question of which manifolds admit metrics of positive Ricci curvature constitutes a major problem in Riemannian geometry. One might hope that some form of topological 'simplicity' might facilitate the existence of Ricci positive metrics, and the above theorem illustrates that being highly connected in dimensions $4j-1$ is an appropriate interpretation of this term. Note that we cannot in general hope to remove the

ambiguity created by having to form connected sums with homotopy spheres. It has long been known ([Hi]) that there exist homotopy spheres which do not even satisfy the weaker positive scalar curvature condition, so there are highly-connected manifolds which definitely do not admit positive Ricci curvature.

It is natural to look for a counterpart to Theorem 1.1 involving highly-connected manifolds M in dimensions $4j+1$ which goes beyond the highly-restrictive torsion-free cohomology requirement in that theorem. Unfortunately the techniques used to establish Theorem 1.1 do not apply when $H_{2j}(M^{4j+1})$ contains torsion (here and throughout integer coefficients for (co)homology are omitted). The existence of positive Ricci curvature metrics on the manifolds of dimension $4j-1$ in Theorem 1.1 follows from the fact that one can express these manifolds as the boundaries of plumbed manifolds, where all the plumbings involve D^{2j}-bundles over S^{2j}. The relevance of the plumbing construction to issues of positive Ricci curvature stems from the fact that on the boundary, the effect of plumbing two disc bundles over spheres is precisely a surgery on a fibre sphere of one of the bundles. Under limited circumstances (see [Wr2] for example) one can extend a Ricci positive metric over a surgery, and crucially these circumstances are fulfilled in the case of surgeries arising from such plumbings. Now one can also use plumbings of this type to construct manifolds in dimensions $4j+1$, but it turns out that these manifolds belong to a short list of well-known objects: spheres, products or spheres and connected sums of products of spheres, all of which are known to admit Ricci positive metrics (see for example [SY], [Wr3], [BG3]).

Even though plumbing does not help in dimensions $4j+1$ when torsion is present in $H_{2j}(M)$, one can still hope to make progress using more general kinds of surgery. The dimension $4j+1$ analogue of the dimension $4j-1$ statement in Theorem 1.1 is unfortunately still out of reach. However, if we are prepared to weaken the curvature condition slightly, it turns out that we can indeed prove a counterpart theorem. The curvature condition in question is that the sum of the two smallest eigenvalues of the Ricci tensor is everywhere positive. (Of course for positive Ricci curvature we need all the eigenvalues positive, so this new condition allows at most one eigenvalue to be negative at any point, but with smaller magnitude than the smallest of the positive eigenvalues.) Following Wolfson ([Wo]) we make the following definition:

Definition 1.2. We say that an n-dimensional Riemannian manifold (N, g) has k-positive Ricci curvature if the sum of the k smallest eigenvalues of the Ricci tensor is positive at all points. We will write this as $Ric_k > 0$.

Thus we see that n-positive Ricci curvature is just positive scalar curvature, and 1-positive Ricci curvature is the same as positive Ricci curvature. The condition we will be interested in is 2-positive Ricci curvature, and the main aim of this paper is to establish:

Theorem 1.3. *Let M^{4j+1} be a $(2j-1)$-connected $2j$-parallelisable manifold, $j \geq 1$. Then there is a homotopy sphere Σ^{4j+1} such that $M \sharp \Sigma$ admits a metric of 2-positive Ricci curvature.*

In dimension five Theorem 1.3 applies to simply-connected spin 5-manifolds but it can also be extended to certain non-spin manifolds. Let M be a 1-connected 5-manifold and recall that the second Stiefel-Whitney class of M, $w_2(M) \in H^2(M; \mathbb{Z}/2)$, can be

viewed as a homomorphism

$$w_2(M)\colon H_2(M) \to \mathbb{Z}/2.$$

If $w_2(M) \neq 0$, Barden [Ba] identified an invariant $i(M)$, which we call the *height* of M and denote by h_M. This is defined to be the smallest integer h_M such that there is an element $x \in H_2(M)$ of order 2^{h_M} with $w_2(M)(x) = 1$, and we set $h_M = \infty$ if $w_2(M)(x) = 0$ for every torsion element of $H_2(M)$.

Theorem 1.4. *Every simply-connected spin 5-manifold, and every simply-connected non-spin 5-manifold M with height $h_M \in \{1, \infty\}$ admits a metric of 2-positive Ricci curvature.*

Theorem 1.4 is significant since there is a long-standing open question as to whether all simply-connected 5-manifolds admit metrics of positive Ricci curvature. There is a classification simply-connected 5-manifolds due to Barden ([Ba], but see also [Sm] for the spin case). These manifolds fall into two infinite families depending on whether they are spin or non-spin. In the spin case, most of the manifolds were shown to admit Ricci positive metrics by the work of Boyer and Galicki on Sasakian geometry ([BG1], [BG2]), though infinitely many in the spin class are still unaccounted for by their techniques. In contrast, until very recently only two of the non-spin 5-manifolds have been known to admit Ricci positive metrics, though this set has now been expanded in [CGG]. It is widely believed that all simply-connected 5-manifolds should admit Ricci positive metrics.

We also note that in the analogous - though much stronger - context of the curvature operator of a Riemannian manifold, the 2-positive condition has received much attention, culminating in the work of Böhm and Wilking [BW].

By summing the k smallest eigenvalues of the Ricci tensor we obtain in a simple way a natural family of curvatures which interpolate between the scalar and Ricci curvatures. This raises an obvious question: given a phenomenon which holds for positive scalar curvature say, but does not hold for positive Ricci curvature, for which of these intermediate curvatures does the phenomenon hold? The analysis of this question clearly has the potential to offer a deeper insight into properties observed in the more classical worlds of scalar and Ricci curvatures. In the simply-connected case, there is no known difference between the class of closed positive scalar curvature manifolds and closed Ricci positive manifolds in dimensions at least 5, and therefore no known difference between these classes and the class of k-positive Ricci curvature manifolds for any k. In fact it was shown in ([Wo]; Theorem 2.3) that the class of closed simply-connected n-manifolds ($n \geq 5$) which admit positive scalar curvature is precisely the same as the corresponding class of $(n-1)$-positive Ricci curvature manifolds. On the other hand there are differences between positive scalar curvature and k-positive Ricci curvature for $1 \leq k < n$ in the non-simply-connected case. To see this consider the Riemannian product manifold $S^m(R) \times (M^k, g)$ where the first factor is a round sphere of radius R and dimension $m \geq 2$, and the second factor is a closed hyperbolic spin manifold of dimension $k \geq 2$. Then for R sufficiently small this product manifold has $(k+1)$-positive Ricci curvature, as any collection of $k+1$ linearly independent tangent directions must include a direction with a component in TS^m. However this manifold does not support a metric of k-positive Ricci curvature, since if it did, this

would mean that M^k admits a positive scalar curvature metric, as this is not possible by [GL2].

In the same way that there is a natural gradation of curvatures between scalar and Ricci, there are similarly natural notions of curvature intermediate between the Ricci and sectional curvatures. If we fix a tangent vector u and extend it to an orthogonal $(k+1)$-frame $\{u, v_1, ..., v_k\}$, we can form the sum of sectional curvatures $\sum_{i=1}^{k} K(u, v_i)$. A manifold is said to have positive k^{th}-Ricci curvature (as opposed to k-positive Ricci curvature) if these sums are all positive. We will write this as $Ric^{(k)} > 0$. This condition has been studied much more than the k-positive Ricci curvature ($Ric_k > 0$) which will be our primary concern in this paper. See for example [Ha], [Sh1], [Sh2], [Wu], [Wi], [GX], [GW1], [GW2].

There is an obvious difficulty here concerning language. There is a significant potential for confusion between the terms k-positive Ricci curvature and positive k^{th}-Ricci curvature. Nevertheless both appear in the literature with distinct meanings. The latter curvature has also been called k-positive curvature, though positive k^{th}-Ricci curvature seems to have become the accepted name. Given that the k^{th}-Ricci curvature has been the subject of much more attention over the years, there is an argument in favour of renaming k-positive Ricci curvature to make the distinction clear. An obvious alternative name would be k-positive scalar curvature, however we note that this term already appears in the literature to mean something else (see [GX; Definition 1]). Gu and Xu use the term k^{th}-weak Ricci curvature, which might be a viable possibility going forward. We also observe that the notation Ric_k has sometimes been used in the literature to mean $Ric^{(k)}$.

We should also mention that there are other notions of curvature which are in some sense intermediate between classical curvatures. There is Labbi's p-curvature, see for example [La], [BL1], and also the σ_k and Γ_k curvatures defined in terms of the eigenvalues of the Schouten tensor, see for example [BL2].

The proof of Theorem 1.3 breaks down into a topological part and a metric part. The topological part is presented in §2, where the following key result is established:

Theorem 1.5. *Let M^{4j+1} be a $(2j-1)$-connected $2j$-parallelisable manifold. Then there is a homotopy sphere Σ^{4j+1}, a natural number $r \geq 0$ and if $r > 0$ positive integers $m_1, ..., m_r$, such that M is diffeomorphic to a connected sum*

$$M_0 \sharp \Sigma \ (r = 0) \quad or \quad M_0 \sharp M_1 \sharp \cdots \sharp M_r \sharp \Sigma \ (r > 0),$$

where M_0 is a connected sum of the total spaces of linear S^{2j}-bundles over S^{2j+1} or possibly the empty connected sum $M_0 = S^{4j+1}$, and each M_i for $i > 0$ is constructed by performing surgery on a link of two embedded $2j$-spheres in S^{4j+1} for which the linking number is equal to m_i.

When $j = 3$ or 7 the manifolds M_i for $i > 0$ have a long history in differential topology, featuring in Smale's early classification theorems for handlebodies and their boundaries [Sm, §6]. We remark that Smale uses the notation M_{m_i} for M_i.

It is well known that linear S^{2j}-bundles over S^{2j+1} admits metrics of positive Ricci curvature (see for example [Na, Corollary 3.6]). Moreover connected sums of such bundles also admit Ricci positive metrics by [CW, Theorem 2.4] in conjunction with [Wr1, Theorem 2.2]. Thus from a metric perspective, it is clear from Theorem 1.5 that

Theorem 1.3 will follow if we can perform surgeries on such links of $2j$-spheres in S^{4j+1} within 2-positive Ricci curvature, and if we can perform connected sums preserving 2-positive Ricci curvature. This second point is contained in the following surgery result due to Wolfson ([Wo], but see also [Ho]). To the best of our knowledge, this is the only result to date in the literature concerning the existence of 2-positive Ricci curvature metrics.

Theorem 1.6. *([Wo],[Ho]) Let M^n be a closed Riemannian manifold with k-positive Ricci curvature, $2 \leq k \leq n$. Then any manifold obtained from M by performing surgeries in codimension q with $q \geq \max\{n+2-k, 3\}$ also admits a metric of k-positive Ricci curvature. In particular if M_1 and M_2 are manifolds of dimension $n \geq 3$ which admit metrics of 2-positive Ricci curvature, then the connected sum $M_1 \sharp M_2$ also admits a metric of 2-positive Ricci curvature.*

It is clear then that our main metric focus in this paper is to establish the existence of 2-positive Ricci curvature metrics on the manifolds M_i, $i > 0$, appearing in Theorem 1.5. We do this in three steps. Firstly we show how to explicitly construct a link of two $2j$-spheres in S^{4j+1} realizing any given linking number in a geometrically accessible way. Secondly, we show how to deform the induced metric in a neighbourhood of each of these spheres in such a way that our final step, a 2-positive Ricci curvature surgery, can be performed.

A key difference between surgery preserving positive scalar curvature and surgery preserving positive Ricci curvature involves the size of the normal bundle. In the case of positive scalar curvature the normal disc-bundle removed in the surgery process can be arbitrarily small (see [GL1]). In contrast, for positive Ricci curvature surgery the normal discs have to be large in comparison with the size of the surgery sphere (see [Wr2]), and this condition is generally hard to satisfy. For the 2-positive Ricci surgery result we prove in §3 (Proposition 3.11), the normal bundle can be arbitrarily small. In this sense the 2-positive surgery is more akin to positive scalar curvature surgery than positive Ricci curvature surgery. Proposition 3.11 is designed to meet the particular requirements of this paper, however we believe that many of the constraints imposed by this surgery result can be significantly weakened or eliminated. With this in mind we are tempted to make the following:

Conjecture 1.7. *A closed simply-connected manifold M^n, ($n \geq 5$) admits a metric of 2-positive Ricci curvature if and only if it admits a metric of positive scalar curvature.*

We remark that a closed simply-connected manifold of dimension at least five always admits a positive scalar curvature metric if it is non-spin (see [GL1]), and in the spin case admits a positive scalar curvature metric if and only if its α-invariant vanishes (see [St]). (The α-invariant is a spin bordism invariant which can be viewed as a generalization of the $\hat{A}$-genus for spin manifolds.) Note that Theorem 2.8 proves Conjecture 1.7 for 3-connected 4-parallelisable 9-manifolds.

This paper is laid out as follows. In §2 we study the topology of highly connected manifolds in dimensions $4j+1$, leading to a proof of Theorem 1.5. In §3 we prove the key metric results in three steps. In §3.1 we show how to embed pairs of $2j$-spheres into S^{4j+1} in a geometrically nice way, so as to realize any given linking number. In §3.2 we show how to deform the metric in a neighbourhood of such linked spheres into

a form which will allow us to apply the 2-positive Ricci surgery result we establish in §3.3. We conclude with proofs of Theorems 1.3 and 1.4.

Acknowlegements: The second author would like to thank the University of Aberdeen for their hospitality, and Mark Walsh for his feedback concerning §3.2.

2 $(2j-1)$-connected $(4j+1)$-manifolds

2.1 The general case

To state our main topological result, Theorem 2.1 below, we first recall some notation from [CW]. Let $\pi^s_m = \lim_{i\to\infty}\pi_{m+i}(S^i)$ be the stable m-stem and $J_m\colon \pi_m(SO) \to \pi^s_m$ the J-homomorphim. By [KM] there is an exact sequence

$$0 \to bP_{4j+2} \to \Theta_{4j+1} \xrightarrow{\Phi} \mathrm{Coker}(J_{4j+1}) \to 0,$$

where Θ_{4j+1} is the group of oriented diffeomorphism classes of homotopy $(4j+1)$-spheres and $bP_{4j+2} \subset \Theta_{4j+1}$ is the subgroup of those homotopy spheres which bound parallelisable manifolds.

Recall that M is a $(2j-1)$-connected $(4j+1)$-manifold. For the majority of this section we also assume that the tangent bundle of M is trivial over any $2j$-skeleton of M; i.e. that M is $2j$-parallelisable. In [CW, §5.1] we identified the bordism group $\Omega^{O\langle 2j\rangle}_{4j+1}$ of $2j$-parallelisable manifolds and showed that an orientation on M determines a bordism class $[M,\bar\nu] \in \Omega^{O\langle 2j\rangle}_{4j+1}$, where $\bar\nu : M \to BO\langle 2j+1\rangle$ is a lift of the map $M \to BO$ which classifies the stable normal bundle of M, and $BO\langle 2j+1\rangle \to BO$ is the $2j$-connected covering of BO. By [CW, Theorem 7.1] there is a homotopy sphere Σ such that $[M,\bar\nu] = [\Sigma] \in \Omega^{O\langle 2j\rangle}_{4j+1}$. It follows that the natural map $\eta\colon \mathrm{Coker}(J_{4j+1}) \to \Omega^{O\langle 2j\rangle}_{4j+1}$ is onto and we define

$$\Sigma(M) \subset \Theta_{4j+1}$$

to be the set of homotopy spheres Σ such that $\eta(\Phi(\Sigma)) = -[M,\bar\nu] \in \Omega^{O\langle 2j\rangle}_{4j+1}$.

Theorem 2.1. *Let M^{4j+1} be a $(2j-1)$-connected $2j$-parallelisable manifold. Then there is a natural number $r \geq 0$ and if $r > 0$ a collection of integers $m_1, ..., m_r$ such that for every homotopy sphere $\Sigma \in \Sigma(M)$, $M\#\Sigma$ is diffeomorphic to a manifold M_0 (if $r = 0$) or to a connected sum*

$$M_0 \sharp M_1 \sharp \cdots \sharp M_r,$$

where M_0 is a connected sum of the total spaces of linear S^{2j}-bundles over S^{2j+1} or possibly the empty connected sum $M_0 = S^{4j+1}$, and each M_i for $i > 0$ is constructed by performing surgery on a two component link of embedded $2j$-spheres in S^{4j+1} for which the linking number is equal to m_i.

To give the proof of Theorem 2.1 we must first recall foundational results of Smale and Wall on certain handlebodies. We have already summarised these results in [CW §4] and we use the notation and setting we developed there. We define a *handlebody*

W of dimension $4j{+}2$ to be a smooth manifold obtained by attaching $(2j{+}1)$-handles to the $(4j{+}2)$-disc D^{4j+2}, so that that

$$W = D^{4j+2} \cup_\phi (\sqcup_{i=1}^s D^{2j+1} \times D^{2j+1}), \tag{1}$$

where $\phi\colon \sqcup_{i=1}^s D^{2j+1} \times S^{2j} \to S^{4j+1}$ is a smooth embedding. We define

$$\mathcal{H}^{4j+2} := \{W \,|\, W \cong D^{4j+2} \cup_\phi (\sqcup_{i=1}^s D^{2j+1} \times D^{2j+1})\}$$

to be the set of diffeomorphism classes of handlebodies.

We next review Wall's classification of $\mathcal{H}^{4j+2}$ [Wa]. Recall from [CW §3] the *quadratic form parameter*

$$\pi_{2j}\{SO(2j{+}1)\} = \big(\pi_{2j}(SO(2j{+}1)), \mathbb{Z}, \mathrm{h}, \mathrm{p}\big).$$

An extended quadratic form with values in $\pi_{2j}\{SO(2j{+}1)\}$ is a triple (H, λ, μ) where H is a finitely generated free abelian group, $\lambda\colon H \times H \to \mathbb{Z}$ is a skew-symmetric bilinear form and $\mu\colon H \to \pi_{2j}(SO(2j{+}1))$ is a function such that

$$\mu(x+y) = \mu(x) + \mu(y) + \mathrm{p}(\lambda(x,y)) \quad \text{and} \quad \mathrm{h}(\mu(x)) = \lambda(x,x).$$

There are obvious notions of isometry and orthogonal sum for extended quadratic forms. Given a handlebody W there is a well-defined function,

$$\mu_W\colon H^{2j+1}(W, \partial W) \to \pi_{2j}(SO(2j{+}1)), \quad x \mapsto \nu_{\widehat{x}},$$

which is defined by taking the isomorphism class of the normal bundle $\nu_{\widehat{x}}$ of an embedding $\widehat{x}\colon S^{2j+1} \to W$ which represents the Poincaré dual of x. Moreover, Wall showed that if $\lambda_W\colon H^{2j+1}(W, \partial W) \times H^{2j+1}(W, \partial W) \to \mathbb{Z}$ is the intersection form of W, then the triple

$$(H^{2j+1}(W, \partial W), \lambda_W, \mu_W)$$

defines an extended intersection form with values in $\pi_{2j}\{SO(2j{+}1)\}$ and proved the following

Theorem 2.2 ([Wa, p. 168])**.** *For all $j \geq 1$, the assignment of its extended intersection form to a handlebody defines a bijection,*

$$\mathcal{H}^{4j+2} \equiv \mathcal{F}^{4j+2}, \quad W \mapsto (H^{2j+1}(W, \partial W), \lambda_W, \mu_W),$$

which maps the boundary connected sum of handlebodies to the orthogonal sum of forms;

$$W_0 \natural W_1 \mapsto (H^{2j+1}(W_0, \partial W_0), \lambda_{W_0}, \mu_{W_0}) \oplus (H^{2j+1}(W_1, \partial W_1), \lambda_{W_1}, \mu_{W_1}).$$

Moreover, every isomorphism of extended intersection forms,

$$A\colon (H^{2j+1}(W_1, \partial W_1), \lambda_{W_1}, \mu_{W_1}) \cong (H^{2j+1}(W_0, \partial W_0), \lambda_{W_0}, \mu_{W_0}),$$

is realised by a diffeomorphism $f_A\colon W_0 \cong W_1$.

Example 2.3. If W is obtained from D^{4j+2} by attaching a single $(2j+1)$-handle, so that $s=1$ in (1), then the embedding $\phi\colon D^{2j+1}\times S^{2j}\to S^{4j+1}$ is a framed $2q$-sphere which has self-linking number 0. In this case the extended quadratic form of W is given by

$$\big(H^{2j+1}(W,\partial W),\lambda_W,\mu_W\big)\cong(\mathbb{Z}(x_1),0,\gamma_1)\,,$$

where $\mu_W(x_1)=\gamma_1\in\pi_{2j}(SO(2j+1))$.

Example 2.4. If W is obtained from D^{4j+2} by attaching two $(2j+1)$-handles, so that $s=2$ in (1), then the embedding $\phi\colon (D^{2j+1}\times S^{2j}_1)\sqcup(D^{2j+1}\times S^{2j}_2)\to S^{4j+1}$ is a framed link with two components which has a linking number $m\in\mathbb{Z}$. In this case the extended quadratic form of W is given by

$$\big(H^{2j+1}(W,\partial W),\lambda_W,\mu_W\big)\cong\left(\mathbb{Z}^2(x_1,x_2),\begin{pmatrix}0&m\\-m&0\end{pmatrix},\begin{pmatrix}\gamma_1\\\gamma_2\end{pmatrix}\right),$$

where $\mu_W(x_i)=\gamma_i\in\pi_{2j}(SO(2j+1))$.

Proof of Theorem 2.1. By [CW, Theorem 7.1] $M\#\Sigma$ is diffeomorphic to the boundary of a handlebody W. For brevity let $(H,\lambda,\mu):=(H^{2j+1}(W,\partial W),\lambda_W,\mu_W)$ be the extended intersection form of W and define *the radical of* λ, $H_0\subset H$, by

$$H_0:=\{x\in H\,|\,\lambda(x,y)=0\ \forall y\in H\}.$$

There is an orthogonal decomposition $(H,\lambda,\mu)=(H_0,0,\mu_0)\oplus(H_t,\lambda_t,\mu_t)$, where $H_t\subset H$ is a complementary summand to H_0 and $\lambda_t:=\lambda|_{H_t\times H_t}$ is non-degenerate. Note that it is possible that $H_t=0$, in which case $r=0$. By the classification of non-degenerate skew symmetric forms, see [VF, §14], there is an isometry

$$(H_t,\lambda_t)\cong\bigoplus_{i=1}^{r}(H_i,\lambda_i),$$

where for every i, there is a positive integer m_i and an isometry

$$(H_i,\lambda_i)\cong\left(\mathbb{Z}^2(x_{i1},x_{i2}),\begin{pmatrix}0&m_i\\-m_i&0\end{pmatrix}\right).$$

It follows that there are isometries of extended quadratic forms

$$(H_t,\lambda_t,\mu_t)\cong\bigoplus_{i=1}^{r}\left(\mathbb{Z}^2(x_{i1},x_{i2}),\begin{pmatrix}0&m_i\\-m_i&0\end{pmatrix},\begin{pmatrix}\gamma_{i1}\\\gamma_{i2}\end{pmatrix}\right)$$

and

$$(H,\lambda,\mu)\cong\bigoplus_{l=1}^{s}\big(\mathbb{Z}(x_l),0,\gamma_l\big)\oplus\bigoplus_{i=1}^{r}\left(\mathbb{Z}^2(x_{i1},x_{i2}),\begin{pmatrix}0&m_i\\-m_i&0\end{pmatrix},\begin{pmatrix}\gamma_{i1}\\\gamma_{i2}\end{pmatrix}\right),$$

where $\{x_1,\dots,x_s\}$ is a basis for H_0 and we allow $s=0$ or $r=0$, in which case the corresponding summand is empty. By Theorem 2.2 and Examples 2.3 and 2.4 it follows that W is either diffeomorphic to D^{4j+1} or to a boundary connected sum of the form

$$W\cong\natural_{l=1}^{s}W_l,\quad\text{or}\quad W\cong\natural_{i=1}^{r}W_i,\quad\text{or}\quad W\cong\big(\natural_{l=1}^{s}W_l\big)\natural\big(\natural_{i=1}^{r}W_i\big),$$

where every W_l, $l = 1, \ldots, s$, is the total space of a D^{2j+1}-bundle over S^{2j+1} and every W_i, $i = 1, \ldots, r$, is obtained by attaching two $(2j+1)$-handles to D^{4j+2}. Now the manifold $M_l := \partial W_l$, $l = 1, \ldots, s$, is the total space of an S^{2j}-bundle over S^{2j+1} and the manifold $M_i := \partial W_i$, $i = 1, \ldots, r$, is constructed by performing surgery on a two component link of embedded $2j$-spheres in S^{4j+1} for which the linking number is equal to m_i. Since there is a diffeomorphism $M\#\Sigma \cong \partial W = (\#_{l=1}^{s} M_l)\#(\#_{i=1}^{r} M_i)$, the theorem follows. □

2.2 1-connected 5-manifolds

Theorem 2.1 covers all 1-connected spin 5-manifolds and in this subsection we generalise it to certain 1-connected non-spin 5-manifolds. Recall the notion of *height* h_M for a 1-connected non-spin 5-manifold M, which is defined before Theorem 1.4.

Example 2.5. For the Wu manifold $X_{-1} := SU(3)/SO(3)$, the second Stiefel-Whitney class, $w_2(X_{-1})\colon H_2(X_{-1}) \to \mathbb{Z}/2$, is an isomorphism and so $h_{X_{-1}} = 1$. For X_∞, the non-trivial S^3-bundle over S^2, $w_2(X_\infty)\colon H_2(X_\infty) \to \mathbb{Z}/2$, is isomorphic to the surjection $\mathbb{Z} \to \mathbb{Z}/2$ and so $h_{X_\infty} = \infty$.

Theorem 2.6. *Let M be a 1-connected 5-manifold with either $w_2(M) = 0$, or with height $h_M \in \{1, \infty\}$. Then there is a natural number $r \geq 0$ and if $r > 0$ a collection of integers $m_1, ..., m_r$ such that M is either diffeomorphic to a manifold M_0 (if $r = 0$) or to a connected sum*

$$M_0 \sharp M_1 \sharp \cdots \sharp M_r,$$

where M_0 is a connected sum of the manifolds X_{-1}, X_∞ or $S^3 \times S^2$ or possibly the empty connected sum $M_0 = S^5$, and each M_i for $i > 0$ is constructed by performing surgery on a two component link of embedded 2-spheres in S^5 for which the linking number is equal to m_i.

Proof. This follows from Barden's classification [Ba, Theorem 2.3]. The manifolds $M_1, \ldots, M_r$ above correspond to the manifolds $M_{k_1} \ldots, M_{k_s}$ in Barden's Theorem. Barden [Ba, Remark p. 347] points out these manifolds are the manifolds M_k defined by Smale [Sm, §6], and Smale's construction of these manifolds is precisely to present them as the outcome of performing surgery on a two component link of embedded 2-spheres in S^5 for which the linking number is equal to m_i. □

Remark 2.7. The manifolds M missing from Theorem 2.6 are the non-spin manifolds with $2 \leq h_M < \infty$. The simplest such manifolds, at least in terms of having the smallest group $H_2(M)$, are the manifolds denoted X_l, $l \geq 2$, in Barden's classification [Ba, Theorem 2.3] with $H_2(X_l) \cong \mathbb{Z}/2^l \oplus \mathbb{Z}/2^l$. Recalling that X_∞ is the total space of non-trivial S^3-bundle over S^2, we remark that X_l can be obtained from X_∞ by doing surgery along the connected sum of 2^l-copies of the fibre $S^3 \subset X_\infty$.

2.3 3-connected 4-parallelisable 9-manifolds

Let M be a 3-connected 9-manifold. Up to equivalence, M admits a unique spin structure, and a spin 9-manifold (M, s) has an α-invariant $\alpha(M, s) \in KO_9 = \mathbb{Z}/2$

defined by taking the index of the Dirac operator associated to s. Hence M has a well-defined α-invariant

$$\alpha(M) := \alpha(M, s) \in KO_9.$$

Theorem 2.8. *Let M be a 3-connected 4-parallelisable 9-manifold. Then the following are equivalent:*

(a) $\alpha(M) = 0 \in KO_9$*;*

(b) M admits a metric of positive scalar curvature;

(c) M admits a metric of 2-postive Ricci curvature.

Proof. We have that (a) and (b) are equivalent by [St]. The implication from (c) to (b) is trivial. Finally we show that (a) implies (c). The α-invariant defines a homomorphism $\alpha\colon \mathrm{Coker}(J_9) \to KO_9$. By [BCS, Lemma 5.8] there is a homotopy sphere Σ for which $\Phi(\Sigma)$ generates $\ker(\alpha)$ and which is the boundary of a plumbing manifold W which is obtained from D^{10} by adding a 4-handle and a 6-handle. By [Wr1], Σ admits a metric of positive Ricci curvature. Since 2-positive Ricci curvature can be preserved under connected sums, the theorem now follows from Theorem 2.1. □

3 Curvature preserving surgery on links

3.1 Vector fields and linking

Given a round sphere S^{4j+1} of some radius R, we wish to explicitly embed two copies of S^{2j} realizing any given linking number in a geometrically nice way, where by 'geometrically nice' we mean so as to facilitate 2-positive Ricci surgery. As we will see in §3.3 this will require the induced metrics on these spheres to have positive Ricci curvature and belong to the same path-component of Ricci positive metrics as the round metric. The metrics we will obtain will in fact have positive sectional curvature.

We will address this embedding problem in the current section. We will choose one of the embeddings to be an isometric embedding of a round S^{2j}. To create the second embedding we will 'slide' this embedded round sphere off itself by a small amount in a very precise way. By controlling the extent of the 'slide' we can guarantee that the second embedding also has positive Ricci curvature, using the openness of the positivity condition. It is then trivial that this second Ricci positive metric belongs to the same path-component of Ricci positive metrics as the round metric.

For convenience and for the simplicity of the exposition we will actually produce embeddings into flat Euclidean space. This will not create a difficulty for us for the following reason. By scaling the link in $\mathbb{R}^{4j+1}$ if necessary, we can embed it into a round sphere of any given radius so that the induced metric on the link still has positive Ricci curvature. For example one could choose a ball $B^{4j+1} \subset \mathbb{R}^{4j+1}$ of radius R' (with respect to the standard Euclidean metric) which contains the link in its interior. We now wish to view B^{4j+1} as a polar cap of radius R' in some sphere $S^{4j+1}(R)$ for some

very large radius $R >> R'$. This is equivalent to re-choosing the metric on B so as to introduce a small amount of constant positive sectional curvature. This will clearly not alter the linking number, nor will it alter the fact that the first embedding is round. By choosing the radius R sufficiently large (to keep the ambient curvature sufficiently close to zero) we see that we can still guarantee that the second embedded sphere has an induced metric of positive Ricci curvature. The whole arrangement can then be globally rescaled, if desired, to alter the radius of the ambient sphere. In this way we can ensure that the Ricci curvature of the link can be bounded below by any given positive constant. It will be important in the sequel for this Ricci curvature lower bound to be $4j-1$.

Our construction to produce the embedded link will produce embeddings of n-spheres in $\mathbb{R}^{2n+1}$ for any $n \geq 1$. The strategy is as follows. In $\mathbb{R}^3$ it is easy to embed two circles with linking number equal to any given integer m. Consider a standard solid torus, and let one of the circles be the central circle in the interior of the torus. For the other circle take a torus knot on the boundary which wraps around the central circle $|m|$ times. (The sign of the linking number can be controlled by choice of orientations.) We can construct a normal vector field X on the central circle with each vector ending at the corresponding point on the torus knot. Pushing the central circle out a small fixed distance ϵ along the normal geodesics specified by X creates a 1-parameter family of embeddings of S^1 into $\mathbb{R}^3$. It is clear that any of these embeddings has linking number m with the central circle. The aim is to generalize this idea to embeddings of S^n in $\mathbb{R}^{2n+1}$ by constructing a suitable normal vector field on some standard copy of S^n, so that pushing S^n along this field gives further embeddings which have linking number m with the original. Loosely speaking, we create this vector field by successively 'double suspending' the vector field X on S^1. There are smoothness issues to consider, as well as linking problems which arise. These latter problems are resolved by twisting the field into 'spare' dimensions in $\mathbb{R}^{2n+1}$. It turns out that there are precisely the right amount of ambient dimensions for our construction to work.

Consider an orthonormal $\mathbb{R}^3$-basis $\{e_1, e_2, e_3\}$ and the unit circle

$$S^1 = \{\cos(t)e_1 + \sin(t)e_2 \,|\, t \in [0, 2\pi)\}.$$

Let D^2 be the flat disc in $\mathbb{R}^3$ which bounds S^1, and let Y denote the outward-pointing unit normal vector field to S^1 within the plane $\mathrm{Span}\{e_1, e_2\}$, so $Y(t) = \cos(t)e_1 + \sin(t)e_2$.

From Y we construct a vector field X on S^1 by rotation into the direction orthogonal to D^2. For any choice of $m \in \mathbb{Z}$, define

$$X(t) = \cos(mt)Y(t) + \sin(mt)e_3.$$

Observe that $X(t)$ lies in D^2 precisely when $\sin(mt) = 0$ and $\cos(mt) = -1$, i.e. when $t = (2j+1)\pi/m$ for $j \in \mathbb{Z}$, $0 \leq j < m$. In specifying the parametrization of the circle notice that we automatically select an orientation for S^1. This also orients the disc D^2 so that the induced boundary orientation agrees with the parametrization. We will assume that all Euclidean spaces come with a fixed orientation.

We can smoothly deform our original embedding of S^1 by 'pushing out' in the direction of the vector field X. Specifically, for each $q \in S^1$ and for $s > 0$, consider $\exp_q(sX(q))$ where exp denotes the exponential map of the ambient space $\mathbb{R}^3$. (Of

course this is just the point $q + sX(q)$, but using the exponential map here allows for the possibility of considering other ambient spaces.) For a suitably small fixed choice of $\epsilon > 0$, the set

$$\{\exp_q(\epsilon X(q)) \,|\, q \in S^1\}$$

is a second embedding of S^1 into $\mathbb{R}^3$. Moreover it is clear from the construction that the linking number of this circle with the original is m.

We next generalize this idea to $S^2 \subset \mathbb{R}^5$. For convenience let us choose an orthonormal basis $\{e_1, ..., e_5\}$ for $\mathbb{R}^5$, and view our embedded S^2 as being the standard copy of $S^2 \subset \text{Span}\{e_1, e_2, e_4\} \subset \mathbb{R}^5$. We will view this S^2 as the double suspension of its equator $S^1 \subset \text{Span}\{e_1, e_2\}$ into the e_4-direction. Along the equator consider the vector field $X(t) \subset \text{Span}\{e_1, e_2, e_3\} \subset \mathbb{R}^5$ exactly as above. We now describe how to extend X to a unit vector field over all of S^2, so that when we 'push out' in the direction of this field, we obtain a second embedding of S^2 which has linking number m with the original. We construct this extended field in three steps.

The first step is to extend X to a field $\bar{X}$ which is defined on all of S^2, and which in some sense can be regarded as a 'double suspension' of X. In order to do this we introduce two functions onto S^2 as follows. Notice that the parametrization of the equator extends to a smooth map $\rho : S^2 \setminus \{\text{poles}\} \to [0, 2\pi)$ since each point in the domain lies on a unique line of longitude, and each such line cuts the equator in a single point with a unique parameter value in $[0, 2\pi)$. Extend ρ to a non-continuous function on all of S^2 by assigning the value zero at each of the poles. We also introduce a longitudinal parameter s, such that $s = 0$ corresponds to the north pole and $s = \pi$ to the south pole. For any $q \in S^2$ we now set

$$\bar{X}(q) := \sin(s(q))X(\rho(q)) + \cos(s(q))e_4.$$

Here we are using parallel translation in the ambient Euclidean space to translate vectors from the equator to other points of S^2. Notice that despite ρ not being continuous at the poles, the vector field $\bar{X}$ *is* continuous on S^2. It is also smooth away from the poles, though its differentiability *at* the poles depends on m.

The second step is to modify $\bar{X}$ in a small neighbourhood of the poles to a smooth vector field $\tilde{X}$. We will show how to smooth in a neighbourhood U of the north pole. Let $\phi : \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$ be a smooth function such that $\phi(r) = 0$ for all $r \leq 1$, $\phi(r) = 1$ for all $r \geq 2$, and $\phi'(r) \geq 0$ for all $r \in \mathbb{R}$. Suppose that $\nu > 0$ is a very small constant, and consider $\phi(r/\nu)$. We observe that

$$\phi(s(q)/\nu)\sin(s(q))X(\rho(q)) + \cos(s(q))e_4$$

is a smooth but non-unit vector field. Assuming U contains a ball of radius 2ν about the pole, we see that this field agrees with $\bar{X}$ near the boundary of U. Adjusting for unit length we set

$$\tilde{X}(q) = \phi(s(q)/\nu)\sin(s(q))X(\rho(q)) + \psi(s(q))\cos(s(q))e_4$$

on U, where $\psi : \mathbb{R} \setminus \{(2n+1)\pi/2 \,|\, n \in \mathbb{Z}\} \to \mathbb{R}$ is given by

$$\psi(r) = \sqrt{\frac{1 - \phi^2(r/\nu)\sin^2(r)}{\cos^2(r)}}.$$

Performing a similar modification at the south pole, and defining $\tilde{X} = \bar{X}$ away from the two polar neighbourhoods yields a smooth global unit normal vector field $\tilde{X}$.

Pushing out a very small uniform distance along the normal geodesics specified by $\tilde{X}$ then defines a second embedding of S^2 into $\mathbb{R}^5$. Let D^3 denote the standard ball in $\mathbb{R}^3 = \mathrm{Span}\{e_1, e_2, e_4\}$ bounding the original sphere. Notice that the second embedded S^2 does not intersect D^3 in a finite number of points. The intersection consists of $|m|$ curves, with each curve extending a point of intersection between the equatorial D^2 and the second embedding of S^1 described above.

The third and final step in constructing the desired vector field on S^2 is to 'nudge' the field $\tilde{X}$ so that the resulting second embedding of S^2 has linking number m with the original sphere. We do this by twisting the vector field into the one remaining unused dimension as follows. Consider the field

$$X(q) := \cos(2s(q))\tilde{X}(q) + \sin(2s(q))e_5.$$

Calling this field X should not cause any confusion as it is easy to see that at the equator ($s = \pi$) this field agrees with the original field X defined on the equator. Moreover the only vectors in this field which have no e_5-component are those originating at the equator and the two poles. Thus the only vectors which lie in D^3 are those on the equator (i.e. in the original field X defined on S^1) which intersect $D^2 \subset \mathrm{Span}\{e_1, e_2\}$. Thus 'pushing out' along this vector field gives a second embedding with the desired linking number m.

Our task is now to generalize the above construction to all higher dimensions. We proceed inductively. Suppose we have a unit normal vector field X on $S^n \subset \mathbb{R}^{2n+1}$ such that the resulting push-out sphere has linking number m with the original sphere. We want to construct the 'double suspension' $\bar{X}$ of X over the sphere $S^{n+1} \subset \mathbb{R}^{2n+3}$. We view $\mathbb{R}^{2n+3}$ as $\mathbb{R}^{2n+1} \oplus \mathrm{Span}\{e_{2n+2}, e_{2n+3}\}$, and create S^{n+1} from S^n by suspending S^n in the e_{2n+2}-direction. This again gives lines of longitude on S^{n+1} which allow us to introduce a longitudinal parameter $s \in [0, \pi]$. We also have a function $\rho : S^{n+1} \to S^n$ which maps any point in $S^{n+1} \setminus \{\text{poles}\}$ to the unique point in the equatorial S^n on its line of longitude, and maps the poles of S^{n+1} to the north pole of S^n. (There is no significance to this assignment of the poles in S^{n+1} - any other image points in S^n would be just as good.) Thus ρ is discontinuous at the poles, but is smooth at all other points. At any $q \in S^{n+1}$ with longitudinal parameter $s(q)$ we define

$$\bar{X}(q) := \sin(s(q))X(\rho(q)) + \cos(s(q))e_{2n+2}.$$

It is implicit here that we are using parallel translation in the ambient Euclidean space to move vectors from the equator S^n to other points on S^{n+1}. We observe that the vector field $\bar{X}$ is clearly smooth away from the poles, and is continuous at the poles though not necessarily smooth there.

Following the procedure for S^2 closely, we next smooth the field $\bar{X}$ to a field $\tilde{X}$ by making a small adjustment near the poles. We again use the functions ϕ and ψ defined above. Near the north pole ($s = 0$) we set

$$\tilde{X}(q) = \phi(s(q)/\nu)\sin(s(q))X(\rho(q)) + \psi(s(q))\cos(s(q))e_{2n+2},$$

similarly near the south pole, and set $\tilde{X} = \bar{X}$ otherwise. Finally, we nudge the field $\tilde{X}$ into the remaining dimension away from the equator and poles so as to create a unit

normal field X for which the corresponding embeddings of S^{n+1} have linking number m with the original copy. To do this we set

$$X(q) := \cos(2s(q))\tilde{X}(q) + \sin(2s(q))e_{2n+3}.$$

As in the case of S^2 above, it is easily verified that this field has the desired properties. Thus by induction the construction can be performed in all dimensions.

Let us summarize the output of the above construction:

Proposition 3.1. *For any given $R > 0$ and any given $m \in \mathbb{Z}$, there is an isometric embedding of a round sphere S^n of some radius into $S^{2n+1}(R)$, and a vector field X on S^n tangent to $S^{2n+1}(R)$ such that for all $\epsilon > 0$ sufficiently small, $exp(\epsilon X)$ defines a second embedding of S^n into $S^{2n+1}(R)$ which has linking number m with the first embedding. Moreover we can arrange for the induced metrics on both embedded spheres to have any given Ricci curvature lower bound. The metric on the second embedding belongs to the same path-component of Ricci positive metrics as the round metric.*

3.2 A metric deformation

Lemma 3.2. *Let $F : \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$ be any smooth function. Then the sequence of smooth functions $F_\zeta(x) := F(x/\zeta)$, $\zeta \in \mathbb{N}$, and all their derivatives converge pointwise to the constant function with value $F(0)$ as $\zeta \to \infty$.*

Proof. It is clear that the i^{th} derivative of F_ζ is given by

$$F_\zeta^{(i)}(x) = \frac{1}{\zeta^i} F^{(i)}(x/\zeta).$$

Thus for all $i \geq 1$ we have for each $x \in \mathbb{R}$, $F_\zeta^{(i)}(x) \to 0$ as $\zeta \to \infty$. As we also have $F_\zeta(x) \to F(0)$ as $\zeta \to \infty$, the result follows. □

Of course the convergence in Lemma 3.2 is uniform if we restrict to a compact interval. We will use the idea in the lemma to help deform a certain type of Riemannian metric to have product form. With this in mind we define a function $f_0 : [0, r_0] \to [0, r_0]$ for some $r_0 > 0$ as follows. Let $\epsilon << r_0$ be a very small positive number. Then set

$$f_0(r) := \begin{cases} 2\epsilon r/r_0 & \text{if } r \in [0, r_0/2]; \\ (3 - 4\epsilon/r_0)(r - r_0/2) + \epsilon & \text{if } r \in [r_0/2, 3r_0/4]; \\ r & \text{if } r \in [3r_0/4, r_0]. \end{cases}$$

Notice that f_0 is a piecewise smooth, strictly increasing bijection.

Definition 3.3. Let $f_1 : [0, r_0] \to [0, r_0]$ be a smooth, strictly increasing bijection obtained by smoothing the function f_0 above inside very small intervals of size $\eta > 0$ around the points $x = r_0/2$ and $x = 3r_0/4$, where $\eta << \epsilon$.

It is clear that we can smooth f_0 to obtain a function f_1 as in the definition above.

Now consider the product manifold $D^{p+1} \times S^n$ equipped with a smooth Riemannian metric

$$\sigma = dr^2 + R^2 \sin^2(r/R) ds_p^2 + g(r, x, y),$$

where $r \in [0, r_0]$ is the radial parameter of D^{p+1}, $R > r_0 > 0$, $x \in S^p$, $y \in S^n$, and where $g(r, x, y)$ is a metric on $\{(r, x)\} \times S^n \subset D^{p+1} \times S^n$. Use the map f_1 to define a diffeomorphism $\theta : D^{p+1} \times S^n \to D^{p+1} \times S^n$ as follows:

$$\theta : (r, x, y) \mapsto (f_1(r), x, y).$$

Let us pull-back the metric σ using θ. A straightforward checking exercise establishes

Lemma 3.4. *The pull-back metric $\theta^*\sigma$ is given by*

$$\theta^*\sigma(r, x, y) = (f_1'(r))^2 dr^2 + R^2 \sin^2(f_1(r)/R) ds_p^2 + g(f_1(r), x, y).$$

Note that the pull-back metric $\theta^*\sigma$ is equal to σ for $r \in [3r_0/4 + \eta, r_0]$. Thus pulling back σ via θ amounts to deforming σ away from the boundary. We will be interested in the form this metric takes for $r \leq r_0/2 - \eta$. At these values of r we have

$$\theta^*\sigma(r, x, y) = \frac{4\epsilon^2}{r_0^2} dr^2 + R^2 \sin\Big(\frac{2\epsilon r}{R r_0}\Big) ds_p^2 + g(2\epsilon r/r_0, x, y).$$

To help with our analysis, let us choose and fix a local coordinate system incorporating the parameter r, independent of any metric considerations, which respects the product structure of $D^{p+1} \times S^n$. We can then think of $\theta^*\sigma(r, x, y)$ as being given by a collection of smooth metric component functions with respect to this coordinate system.

In S^n-directions we have

$$\theta^*\sigma|_{S^n}(r, x, y) = g(2\epsilon r/r_0, x, y)$$

for $r \in [0, r_0/2 - \eta]$. Now fix any choice of $x_0 \in S^p$ and $y_0 \in S^n$, and consider the line (r, x_0, y_0) as r varies over $[0, r_0/2 - \eta]$. By Lemma 3.2 we see that for ϵ sufficiently small we can make each of the component functions comprising $g(2\epsilon r/r_0, x_0, y_0)$ as C^∞-close as we like to the corresponding (constant) component in the metric $g_0(y_0)$, where g_0 is the restriction of σ to $\{0\} \times S^n \subset D^{p+1} \times S^n$. To put this another way, by choosing ϵ sufficiently small we can make $\theta^*\sigma|_{S^n}(r, x_0, y_0)$ arbitrarily C^∞-close to the constant metric $g_c(r, x_0, y_0) := g(y_0)$ along the line (r, x_0, y_0). But x_0 and y_0 were chosen from compact sets, so by choosing ϵ smaller if necessary, we can ensure that $\theta^*\sigma|_{S^n}(r, x, y)$ is within any desired degree of C^∞-closeness to $g_c(r, x, y)$ for all x, y.

Proposition 3.5. *Consider the manifold $D^{p+1} \times S^n$ equipped with a smooth Riemannian metric $\sigma = dr^2 + R^2 \sin^2(r/R) ds_p^2 + g(r, x, y)$ as above, $r \in [0, r_0]$, which has positive Ricci curvature. Suppose that the metric $g_0(y)$ on S^n also has positive Ricci curvature, where g_0 is the restriction of σ to $\{0\} \times S^n \subset D^{p+1} \times S^n$. Then there is a smooth Ricci positive metric σ' on $D^{p+1} \times S^n$ which agrees with σ on some open neighbourhood of the boundary, and takes the form $ds^2 + R_0^2 \sin^2(s/R_0) ds_p^2 + g_0(y)$ in a small tubular neighbourhood about $\{0\} \times S^n$ for some parameter s proportional to r and some (small) constant $R_0 > 0$.*

Proof. Above we have already established the existence of an auto-diffeomorphism $\theta : D^{p+1} \times S^n \to D^{p+1} \times S^n$ depending on a parameter $\epsilon > 0$, such that by choosing ϵ sufficiently small the smoothly varying family of metrics obtained from the pull-back metric $\theta^*\sigma$ by restricting to the S^n-directions over the region $r \in [0, r_0/2 - \eta]$ can be made arbitrarily C^∞-close to the constant family $g_0(y)$.

Next, we need to consider what happens to the metric tangent to D^{p+1}. As noted previously, the pull-back metric restricted to D^{p+1} takes the form

$$(f_1'(r))^2 dr^2 + R^2 \sin^2(f_1(r)/R) ds_p^2.$$

As $f_1(r) = 2\epsilon r/r_0$ for $r \leq r_0/2 - \eta$, at these values of r we can simplify the expression for the metric by introducing an auxiliary parameter $s := 2\epsilon r/r_0$ with range $s \in [0, \epsilon(1 - (2\eta/r_0))]$. Then $ds = \frac{2\epsilon}{r_0} dr$, and we have

$$\theta^*\sigma(s, x, y)|_{D^{p+1}} = ds^2 + R^2 \sin^2(s/R) ds_p^2.$$

We now re-choose the metric tangent to D^{p+1} for s (or r) very close to zero so as to increase the curvature whilst fixing the metric orthogonal to D^{p+1}. Specifically, we replace the scaling function $R\sin(s/R)$ by a function $\beta(s)$ which we will now define.

Consider first a function $\alpha(s)$, $s \geq 0$, defined for some choice of $s_0 \in [0, \epsilon/10)$ by

$$\alpha(s) := \begin{cases} \frac{2\epsilon R}{r_0} \sin\Big(\frac{s r_0}{2\epsilon R}\Big) & \text{if } s \in [0, s_0]; \\ \frac{2\epsilon R}{r_0} \sin\Big(\frac{s_0 r_0}{2\epsilon R}\Big) + \cos\Big(\frac{s_0 r_0}{2\epsilon R}\Big)(s - s_0) & \text{if } s \geq s_0. \end{cases}$$

Notice that α is a piecewise-smooth C^1 function, and let us consider the curves $y = R\sin(s/R)$ and $y = \alpha(s)$ in the $(s - y)$-plane. For $s_0 > 0$ it is clear that these curves intersect for some $s_1 \in (0, R\pi)$, and that over the interval $s \in (0, s_1)$ we have $\alpha(s) < R\sin(s/R)$. In the special case where we choose $s_0 = 0$, the resulting curves meet only at $s = 0$, and we have $\alpha(s) \geq R\sin(s/R)$ for all s. Therefore by the continuous variation of the intersection point with respect to s_0, we see that we can make s_1 as close to zero as we like simply by choosing $s_0 > 0$ sufficiently small. In particular, notice that since the derivatives of both functions are equal to 1 at $s = 0$ and are non-increasing on $[0, s_1]$, at s_1 we must have $\alpha'(s_1) > \cos(s_1/R)$, i.e. the curve $y = \alpha(s)$ has the greater slope at the intersection point $s = s_1$. We conclude that there exists a smooth concave-down function $\beta(s)$ defined for $s \geq 0$, such that

$$\beta(s) = \frac{2\epsilon R}{r_0} \sin\Big(\frac{s r_0}{2\epsilon R}\Big) \text{ for } s \in [0, s_0],$$

and

$$\beta(s) = R\sin(s/R) \text{ for } s \geq \epsilon/10.$$

We now re-choose the metric tangent to D^{p+1} to be $ds^2 + \beta^2(s) ds_p^2$. For $s \in [0, s_1]$ the Ricci curvatures of $(D^{p+1}; ds^2 + \beta^2(s) ds_p^2)$ are given by

$$Ric(\partial/\partial s) = Ric(w, w) = p\frac{r_0^2}{4\epsilon^2 R^2},$$

where $w \in TS^p$ is a unit vector for $ds^2 + \beta^2(s) ds_p^2$.

In order to make a comparison with the metric $\sigma|_{D^{p+1}}$, consider the Ricci curvatures of $(D^{p+1}; ds^2 + \beta^2(s)ds_p^2)$ for the vectors $\partial/\partial r$ and $u \in TS^p$, where u is any unit vector for ds_p^2. From the above formulas we see that when $s \in [0, s_1]$ we have

$$Ric(\partial/\partial r, \partial/\partial r) = p/R^2;$$
$$Ric(u,u) = p\sin^2\Big(\tfrac{sr_0}{2\epsilon R}\Big),$$

where we have used the fact that $s = 2\epsilon r/r_0$ and so

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial s} = \frac{r_0}{2\epsilon}\frac{\partial}{\partial r},$$

and that if u is a unit vector for ds_p^2 then $w = u/\beta(s)$ is unit for $ds^2 + \beta^2(s)ds_p^2$. In comparison, the Ricci curvatures of these vectors with respect to the original metric $\sigma|_{D^{p+1}}$ are as follows:

$$Ric(\partial/\partial r, \partial/\partial r) = p/R^2;$$
$$Ric(u,u) = p\sin^2(r/R) = p\sin^2\Big(\tfrac{sr_0}{2\epsilon R}\Big).$$

Thus the Ricci curvatures of $(D^{p+1}; ds^2 + \beta^2(s)ds_p^2)$ for fixed vectors and $s \in [0, s_1]$ are equal to the corresponding Ricci curvatures of $\sigma|_{D^{p+1}}$.

Let us assess our gains at this point. We have a Ricci positive metric on $D^{p+1} \times S^n$, depending on a parameter ϵ, which agrees with the original metric σ towards the boundary. On a small ϵ-dependent neighbourhood of $\{0\} \times S^n$ the metric takes the form $ds^2 + R_0^2\sin^2(s/R_0)ds_p^2 + g(s,x,y)$ for some small $R_0 > 0$ and some parameter s proportional to r. With respect to our fixed metric-independent coordinate system on $D^m \times S^n$ extending the parameter r, the metric components of $g(2\epsilon r/r_0, x, y)$ can be made arbitrarily C^∞-close to the corresponding components of $g_0(y)$ for ϵ sufficiently small, whereas the Ricci curvatures of the coordinate vector fields are bounded below and away from zero in all directions independent of ϵ. As $Ric > 0$ is an open condition we see that this metric can be adjusted within Ricci positivity to have the desired form on some smaller neighbourhood of $\{0\} \times S^n$. □

3.3 Surgery preserving 2-positive Ricci curvature

Consider a warped product metric $g := dt^2 + h^2(t)g_M$ on $\mathbb{R}^+ \times M$ for some closed manifold M of dimension d. If u denotes any unit tangent vector to (M, g_M) then $v := u/h$ is a unit vector for g. The Ricci curvatures of g are given by

$$Ric(\partial_t, \partial_t) = -d\tfrac{h''}{h};$$
$$Ric(v,v) = -\tfrac{h''}{h} + \tfrac{Ric_{g_M}(u,u)}{h^2} - (d-1)\tfrac{h'^2}{h^2};$$
$$Ric(\partial_t, v) = 0.$$

We immediately deduce:

Lemma 3.6. *If $h'' \geq 0$, then $Ric_2(g) > 0$ if and only if*

$$-(d+1)\frac{h''}{h} + \frac{Ric_{g_M}(u,u)}{h^2} - (d-1)\frac{h'^2}{h^2} > 0$$

for all unit tangent vectors u to (M, g_M).

Proof. Observe that the above inequality is equivalent to $Ric(\partial_t, \partial_t) + Ric(v, v) > 0$, which is certainly true if $Ric_2 > 0$. Conversely, if the given inequality holds, since $h'' \geq 0$ by assumption it follows immediately that $Ric(v, v) > 0$ for all $v \in TM$. In particular, the sum of any two eigenvalues corresponding to eigenvectors tangent to M must be positive, and hence the $Ric_2 > 0$ condition holds for the entire warped product. □

We will use the inequality in Lemma 3.6 in the equivalent form

$$Ric(g_M) > (d+1)hh'' + (d-1)h'^2. \qquad (*)$$

The definition below introduces a function first studied in the Ricci positive surgery context in [Wr2].

Definition 3.7. Let the function f be the solution to the initial value problem

$$\begin{aligned} f'' &= f^{-1} \\ f(0) &= 1 \\ f'(0) &= 0. \end{aligned}$$

Notice that $f'' > 0$, and so Lemma 3.6 applies to warped products involving this function.

We will consider kf, with f as in Definition 3.7 and $k \in \mathbb{R}^+$, in the above inequality, especially when k is small. A simple integration by parts shows that $f'(t) = \sqrt{2 \ln f(t)}$, and so using kf in place of h in $(*)$ yields

$$Ric(g_M) > (d+1)k^2 + 2k^2(d-1)\ln f.$$

Suppose that $Ric_{g_M}(u,u) \geq L > 0$ for all unit tangent vectors u. Initially at $t = 0$, the right-hand side of the last inequality is equal to $(d+1)k^2$. We will assume that k is chosen to be sufficiently small so that $L > (d+1)k^2$.

As f is an increasing function there is a value $t = t_0$ for which

$$L = (d+1)k^2 + 2k^2(d-1)\ln f(t_0).$$

Rearranging we obtain

$$2\ln f(t_0) = \frac{L - (d+1)k^2}{(d-1)k^2}.$$

Therefore

$$kf'(t_0) = \sqrt{\frac{L-(d+1)k^2}{d-1}},$$

and so

$$kf'(t_0) \to \sqrt{\frac{L}{d-1}} \text{ as } k \to 0.$$

We immediately deduce:

Lemma 3.8. *Suppose that $Ric(g_M) \geq d-1$. Then given any small $\delta > 0$ there exists $k_0 = k_0(\delta) > 0$ such that for all $0 < k \leq k_0$ there is a $T_0 = T_0(k, \delta)$ for which $kf'(T_0) = 1 - \delta$ and $kf(t)$ satisfies $(*)$ for all $t \in [0, T_0]$.*

Lemma 3.9. *Suppose that $Ric(g_M) \geq d-1$. Then given any small $\delta, \kappa > 0$ there is a smooth function $\psi(t)$ and $T = T(\delta, \kappa) > 0$ such that $\psi(0) = \kappa$, $\psi'(0) = 0$, $\psi(T) = 1$, $\psi'(T) = 1 - \delta$, and $(*)$ holds for $\psi(t)$ for all $t \in [0, T]$.*

Proof. By Lemma 3.8 we can choose $k > 0$ and consequently find $T_0 > 0$ such that $kf(t)$ satisfies $(*)$ for all $t \in [0, T_0]$ and the boundary condition $kf'(T_0) = 1 - \delta$ is also satisfied. Indeed, we can continue to achieve these conditions by choosing any smaller value of k. In order to obtain ψ, we rescale kf by some factor $\alpha > 0$, that is, set $\psi(t) = \alpha k f(t/\alpha)$. Let $T := \alpha T_0$. Then it is easily checked that $\psi'(T) = 1 - \delta$, and $\psi(T) = \alpha k f(T_0)$. Setting $\alpha = 1/kf(T_0)$ then completes the boundary requirements at $t = T$.

We clearly have $\psi'(0) = 0$ as a consequence of the definition of f. We now show that the boundary condition $\psi(0) = \kappa$ can be achieved for any suitably small value of κ.

We note that $\psi(0) = \alpha k f(0) = \alpha k$. As we need $\alpha = 1/kf(T_0)$ for the boundary conditions at $t = T$, we see that $\psi(0) = 1/f(T_0)$. Now T_0 depends on k. Explicitly, T_0 is defined by the equation $kf'(T_0) = 1 - \delta$, which we can rewrite as $k\sqrt{2 \ln f(T_0)} = 1 - \delta$ and rearrange to give

$$f(T_0) = e^{\frac{1}{2}(\frac{1-\delta}{k})^2}.$$

Clearly, as $k \to 0$ we have $f(T_0) \to \infty$. In turn, we deduce that $\psi(0) \to 0$ as $k \to 0$, and hence we can arrange for $\psi(0)$ to take any suitably small value of κ.

It remains to show that $\psi(t)$ satisfies $(*)$ for all $t \in [0, T]$. An elementary calculation shows that $(*)$ in this case reads

$$Ric(g_M) > (d+1)k^2 f''(t/\alpha) f(t/\alpha) + (d-1)k^2 f'^2(t/\alpha).$$

By Lemma 3.8 we know that the corresponding inequality where $f(t/\alpha)$ is replaced by $f(t)$ holds for all $t \in [0, T_0]$, and therefore the result follows immediately. □

Let (X^{n+p+1}, g_X) be a closed Riemannian manifold with $Ric_2(g_X) > 0$ and $n, p \geq 2$. Suppose we wish to perform surgery on some embedded sphere $S^n \subset X$. We will suppose that a tubular neighbourhood of S^n takes the form

$$(S^n \times D^{p+1}, \sigma + dr^2 + R^2 \sin^2(r/R) ds_p^2)$$

for some $R > 0$, where σ is the restriction of g_X to the surgery sphere S^n. We will further suppose that $Ric(\sigma) \geq n + p - 1$, and consequently this means that the tubular neighbourhood has positive Ricci curvature. The boundary of this tubular neighbourhood takes the form of a Riemannian product of spheres $(S^n, \sigma) \times (S^p, \rho^2 ds_p^2)$ for some constant $\rho = R \sin(r_0/R)$, where r_0 is the radius of the tubular neighbourhood. We will assume that r_0 is chosen sufficiently small so that $(p-1)/\rho^2 \geq n + p - 1$. Set $(M^d, g_M) := (S^n, \sigma) \times (S^p, \rho^2 ds_p^2)$. As a consequence of the above curvature assumptions, we see immediately that $Ric(g_M) \geq n + p - 1 = d - 1$, and so Lemma 3.9 applies.

Let us now fix $\delta > 0$ to be such that $\cos(r_0/R) = 1 - \delta$. For a given small value of $\kappa > 0$ consider the function $\psi(t)$ from Lemma 3.9, and let g denote the warped product metric

$$g := dt^2 + \psi^2(t)g_M = dt^2 + \psi^2(t)(\sigma + \rho^2 ds_p^2)$$

on $[0,T] \times M = [0,T] \times S^n \times S^p$. Then denoting the interior of the above tubular neighbourhood in X around S^n by τ, we observe that $g \cup g_X|_{X\setminus\tau}$ is a C^0-metric on $M \cup (X \setminus \tau)$.

Lemma 3.10. *The C^0-metric $g \cup g_X|_{X\setminus\tau}$ on $[0,T] \times M \cup (X \setminus \tau)$ can be smoothed within $Ric_2 > 0$.*

Proof. We can view g as a metric

$$dt^2 + \xi^2(t)\sigma + \gamma^2(t)\rho^2 ds_p^2$$

where $\xi(t) = \gamma(t) = \psi(t)$. Taking this viewpoint we manipulate the functions ξ and γ independently near $t = T$. Clearly we require ξ to be deformed to a constant function with value 1 and γ to be deformed smoothly to agree with $R\sin(r/R)$ for r very close to r_0 when the t and r parameters are concatenated.

For ξ, we note that a simple concave-down bend to the constant function with value 1 is all that is required. Assuming this deformation is performed over a very small neighbourhood, the variation in the values of ξ over the deformation can be kept arbitrarily small, and it is then evident from the double warped product Ricci curvature formulas (see for example [SY] or [Wr2]) that this will not affect the condition $Ric_2 > 0$.

By the construction of ψ, we have a C^1 join between $\psi(t)$ and $R\sin((r/R)$ when the parameter ranges $t \in [0,T]$ and $r \geq r_0$ are joined. As the Ricci, and hence Ric_2 curvatures have a C^2-dependence on the metric with the second order dependence being linear, we can deform γ over an arbitrarily small neighbourhood to achieve the desired smooth join within $Ric_2 > 0$. To see this last point, notice that $Ric_2 > 0$ for both metrics either side of the join. The smoothing can be performed with an arbitrarily small adjustment to the values of the functions and their first derivatives, with the second derivatives interpolating approximately linearly between the values on either side of the join. The claim now follows by the openness of the $Ric_2 > 0$ condition. □

Proposition 3.11. *With the set-up as above, suppose that the metric σ on S^n belongs to the same path-component of Ricci positive metrics as the round metric. Then we can perform surgery on $S^n \subset X$ within $Ric_2 > 0$ for any trivialisation of the normal bundle.*

Proof. We begin by assuming that $[0,T] \times M \cup (X \setminus \tau)$ has been equipped with the smooth metric guaranteed by Lemma 3.10. Near the boundary of this manifold, specifically for $t \in [0,T/2]$ say, the metric takes the form $dt^2 + \psi^2(t)(\sigma + \rho^2 ds_p^2)$. Our first task is to extend this to a smooth metric over $[-1,T/2]$. Set

$$\Psi(t) = \begin{cases} \psi(t) & \text{if } t \geq 0; \\ \kappa & \text{if } t \leq 0. \end{cases}$$

Notice that Ψ is C^1 at $t = 0$. As argued in the proof of Lemma 3.10, we can smooth Ψ in an arbitrarily small neighbourhood of $t = 0$, so that the metric $dt^2 + \Psi^2(t)(\sigma + \rho^2 ds_p^2)$

has $Ric_2 > 0$ everywhere. (Notice that the metric is Ricci non-negative where Ψ is constant.)

Next we choose a smooth path through Ricci positive metrics between σ and the round metric of radius $1/\kappa$. Let us denote this path $\sigma(t)$ where t ranges over the interval $[-T_1, -1]$ for some $T_1 > 1$. Without loss of generality we will assume that $\sigma(t)$ is constant at $\kappa^{-2}ds_n^2$ for t close to $-T_1$, constant at σ for t close to -1, and is traversed so slowly that the metric

$$dt^2 + \kappa^2\sigma(t) + \kappa^2\rho^2 ds_p^2$$

maintains $Ric_2 > 0$ throughout $[-T_1, -1]$. Note that this is possible by ([Wo]; Proposition 2.2). (There is a mistake in the statement of ([Wo]; Proposition 2.2): the conclusion should specify $(k+1)$-positive Ricci curvature and not k-positive. Our use of this result incorporates this correction.)

By construction, we can now smoothly glue the $Ric_2 > 0$ metrics $dt^2 + \kappa^2\sigma(t) + \kappa^2\rho^2 ds_p^2$ for $t \in [-T_1, -1]$ and $dt^2 + \Psi^2(t)(\sigma + \rho^2 ds_p^2)$ for $t \geq -1$ (of course extending to the metric over $X \setminus \tau$). In order to complete the surgery we need to glue in a copy of $D^{n+1} \times S^p$ with a metric of the form $dt^2 + \sin^2(t + T_1 + \pi/2)ds_n^2 + \kappa^2\rho^2 ds_p^2$ for $t \in [-T_1 - \pi/2, -T_1]$. This metric has positive Ricci curvature and clearly glues C^1, and by an arbitrarily small adjustment smoothly at $t = -T_1$.

It remains to consider other trivialisations of the normal bundle. This issue is discussed in detail in §1 of [Wr2] (but see also the synopsis in §3 of [Wr4]), from which it follows that the above construction can be adapted to handle any trivialisation of the normal bundle provided we are free to choose the constant κ smaller if necessary. By Lemma 3.9 this does not create a problem at $t \geq 0$. Of course the size of κ does influence the details of our construction for $t \leq 0$, (for example it affects the choice of path $\sigma(t)$, and consequently the value of T_1). However it is equally clear that re-choosing a smaller value of κ does not prevent the metric construction for $t \leq 0$ from being carried out successfully. □

Proof of Theorem 1.3 By the discussion after Theorem 1.5, Theorem 1.3 will follow immediately from Theorem 1.5 and Theorem 1.6 provided we can perform surgeries on pairs of linked of $2j$-spheres in S^{4j+1} realizing any given linking number whilst preserving 2-positive Ricci curvature. Proposition 3.1 guarantees the embedding of the two $2j$-spheres in a round $(4j+1)$-sphere realizing the linking number so that the induced metrics have positive Ricci curvature bounded below by $4j-1$, and belong to the same path-component of Ricci positive metrics on S^{2j} as the round metric.

Next, we consider normal disc bundles about our embedded spheres, where the normal discs have sufficiently small radius so that these bundles do not intersect each other and the Ricci curvature of the boundary is at least $4j-1$. It is clear that the metric on the tubular neighbourhood about the round embedded sphere has the form required to apply Proposition 3.5. For the second embedding we can achieve this metric form after an arbitrarily small C^∞-adjustment to the horizontal distribution, which we now describe.

Since the normal (vector) bundle to the second sphere embedding is trivial, we can choose smooth global orthonormal sections $E_1, ..., E_{2j+1}$ spanning the normal fibres. The normal exponential map then creates smoothly varying coordinates in the normal discs, which allows us to construct a diffeomorphism between the tubular neighbourhood and the standard product $S^{2j} \times D^{2j+1}$. Pull back the induced metric to this

product: each disc is isometric to a round disc and the zero-section meets the discs orthogonally. Away from the zero-section, though, we cannot guarantee such orthogonality. Observe, however, that the tubular neighbourhood of the second embedded sphere is metrically smoothly close to the tubular neighbourhood of the round embedding. Moreover we can control the degree of closeness by choosing a smaller value of ϵ in the construction of the second embedding (Proposition 3.1). In particular, the discs and spheres in the standard product $S^{2j} \times D^{2j+1}$ must be close to orthogonal with respect to the pull-back metric, and this degree of closeness can be made arbitrarily small by controlling ϵ. We then re-choose the metric in some smaller neighbourhood of $S^{2j} \times \{0\}$ by declaring spheres and discs to be orthogonal whilst fixing the metrics tangent to both. Outside of this inner neighbourhood we smoothly deform back to the original metric. By controlling ϵ (and hence the pull-back metric) we can keep the whole adjustment arbitrarily small in a C^∞ sense, and thus perform it preserving the curvature bounds. We then (smoothly) replace the metric on the original tubular neighbourhood with the adjusted metric from the standard product using our diffeomorphism, and thus on an inner neighbourhood the metric has the form required to apply Proposition 3.5. We work with this inner neighbourhood only.

Proposition 3.5 can now be applied to re-choose the metrics on the interior of the tubular neighbourhoods within Ricci positivity so as to produce exactly the right kind of product metric to apply our 2-positive Ricci surgery result, Proposition 3.11. Thus the manifold resulting from performing surgery on the link of $2j$-spheres admits a 2-positive Ricci metric, as required. □

Proof of Theorem 1.4 This is essentially identical to the proof of Theorem 1.3 above, except we use Theorem 2.6 in place of Theorem 1.5, and utilize the fact that the manifolds X_{-1} and X_∞ are known to admit Ricci positive metrics (see for example [Na] Proposition 3.4 respectively Corollary 3.6). □

References

[Ba] D. Barden, *Simply connected five-manifolds*, Ann. of Math. (2) **82** (1965), 365–385.

[BCS] J. Bowden, D. Crowley and A. Stipsicz *The topology of Stein fillable manifolds in high dimensions I*, Proc. Lond. Math. Soc. **109** (2014), 1363–1401.

[BG1] C. P. Boyer, K. Galicki, *Rational homology 5-spheres with positive Ricci curvature*, Math. Res. Lett. **9** (2002), 521–528.

[BG2] C. P. Boyer, K. Galicki, *Erratum and addendum for: Rational homology 5-spheres with positive Ricci curvature*, Math. Res. Lett. **13** (2006), 463–465.

[BG3] C. P. Boyer, K. Galicki, *Highly connected manifolds with positive Ricci curvature*, Geom. Topol. **10** (2006), 2219–2235.

[BL1] B. Botvinnik, M. Labbi, *Highly connected manifolds of positive p-curvature*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **366** (2014), 3405–3424.

[BL2] B. Botvinnik, M. Labbi, *Compact manifolds with positive Γ_2-curvature*, Differential Geom. Appl. **37** (2014), 1–16.

[BW] C. Böhm, B. Wilking, *Manifolds with positive curvature operators are space forms*, Ann. of Math. **167** (2008), 1079–1097.

[CGG] D. Corro, F. Galaz-Garcia, *Positive Ricci curvature on simply-connected manifolds with cohomogeneity-two torus actions*, arXiv:1609.06125v2.

[CW] D. Crowley, D. J. Wraith, *Positive Ricci curvature on highly connected manifolds*, J. Diff. Geom. (to appear).

[GL1] M. Gromov and H.B. Lawson, *The classification of manifolds of positive scalar curvature*, Ann. of Math. **111** (1980), 423–434.

[GL2] M. Gromov, H.B. Lawson, *Positive scalar curvature and the Dirac operator on complete Riemannian manifolds*, Publ. Math. Inst. Hautes Etudes Sci. **58** (1983), 83–196.

[GW1] L. Guijarro, F. Wilhelm, *Focal radius, rigidity, and lower curvature bounds*, arXiv:1603.04050.

[GW2] L. Guijarro, F. Wilhelm, *Submetries, souls, and second fundamental forms*, arXiv:1606.04121.

[GX] J.-R. Gu, H.-W. Xu, *The sphere theorems for manifolds with positive scalar curvature*, J. Diff. Geom. **92** (2012), 507–545.

[Ha] P. Hartman, *Oscillation criteria for self-adjoint second-order differential systems and "principal sectional curvature"*, J. Differential Equations **34** (1979), 326–338.

[Hi] N. Hitchin, *Harmonic spinors*, Advances in Math. **14** (1974), 1–55.

[Ho] S. Hoelzel, *Surgery stable curvature conditions*, Math. Ann. **365** (2016), 13–47.

[KM] M. A. Kervaire and J. W. Milnor, *Groups of homotopy spheres I*, Ann. of Math. **77** (1963), 504–537.

[La] M. Labbi, *Stability of the p-curvature positivity under surgery and manifolds with positive Einstein tensor*, Ann. Glob. Anal. Geom. **15** (1997), 299–312.

[Na] J. C. Nash, *Positive Ricci curvature on fibre bundles*, J. Diff. Geom. **14** (1979), 241–254.

[Sh1] Z. M. Shen, *A sphere theorem for manifolds of positive Ricci curvature*, Indiana Univ. Math. J. **38** (1989), 229–233.

[Sh2] Z. M. Shen, *On complete manifolds of nonnegative k-th Ricci curvature*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **338** (1993), 289–310.

[Sm] S. Smale, *On the structure of* 5*-manifolds*, Ann. of Math. **74** (1962), 38–46.

[St] S. Stolz, *Simply connected manifolds with positive scalar curvature*, Ann. of Math. **136** (1992), 511–540.

[SY] J.-P. Sha, D.-G. Yang, *Positive Ricci curvature on the connected sums of* $S^n \times S^m$, J. Diff. Geom. **33** (1990), 127–138.

[VF] O. Veblen and P. Franklin, *On matricies whose elements are integers*, Ann. of Math. **23** (1921), 1–15.

[Wa] C. T. C. Wall, *Classification of* $(n-1)$*-connected* $2n$*-manifolds*, Ann. of Math. **75** (1962), 163–189.

[Wi] F. Wilhelm, *On intermediate Ricci curvature and fundamental groups*, Illinois J. Math. **41** (1997), 488–494.

[Wo] J. Wolfson, *Manifolds with k-positive Ricci curvature*, LMS Lecture Notes Series **394** (2012), Cambridge University Press.

[Wr1] D. Wraith, *Exotic spheres with positive Ricci curvature*, J. Differential Geom. **45** (1997), 638–649.

[Wr2] D. J. Wraith, *Surgery on Ricci positive manifolds*, J. reine angew. Math. **501** (1998), 99–113.

[Wr3] D. J. Wraith, *New connected sums with positive Ricci curvature*, Ann. Glob. Anal. Geom. **32** (2007), 343–360.

[Wr4] D. J. Wraith, *On the moduli space of positive Ricci curvature metrics on homotopy spheres*, Geom. Topol. **15** (2011), 1983–2015.

[Wu] H. Wu, *Manifolds of partially positive curvature*, Indiana Univ. Math. J. **36** (1987), 525–548.

Diarmuid Crowley, School of Mathematics & Statistics, The University of Melbourne, Parkville, VIC, 3010, Australia. Email: dcrowley@unimelb.edu.au

David Wraith, Department of Mathematics and Statistics, National University of Ireland Maynooth, Maynooth, County Kildare, Ireland. Email: david.wraith@nuim.ie.